

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/665,642 09/19/2000		George A. Smith	81,568 4763		
7590 05/13/2004			EXAMINER		
Russell R Stol			OGDEN JR, NECHOLUS		
Huntsman Petrochemical Corporation P O Box 15730			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Austin, TX 78	3761	1751			

DATE MAILED: 05/13/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/665,642		SMITH ET AL.	PX		
Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Necholus	Daden	1751			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication		-	orrespondence ac	ldress		
Period fo							
THE - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no ever a reply within the staturiod will apply and will table to cause the application.	nt, however, may a reply be tin tory minimum of thirty (30) day expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. communication.		
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	6 February 200	<u>4</u> .				
,	 ✓ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. 						
3)□							
,	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
•	Claim(s) 101 is/are pending in the applicati	ion.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
· —	Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
-	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election re	quirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers						
	The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner					
,—	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		objected to by the	Examiner.			
10)	Applicant may not request that any objection to						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co				FR 1.121(d).		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the						
,		-					
-	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
,	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum)-(d) or (f).			
	2. Certified copies of the priority docum			ion No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the				l Stage		
	application from the International Bu				Ü		
* :	See the attached detailed Office action for a			ed.			
Attachman	nt/c\						
Attachmer 1) Noti	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	/ (PTO-413)			
2) Noti	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948		Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate			
3) 🔲 Info	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE er No(s)/Mail Date		5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	Patent Application (PT	U-152)		

Application/Control Number: 09/665,642

Art Unit: 1751

Response to Amendment

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 1-101 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Feierstein et al (4,162,236).

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 2-26-04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that the 2-phenyl content disclosed in Feierstein et al does not encompass the claimed invention.

The examiner contends that the content disclosed in Feierstein et al, the examiner does agree with applicant that the "60%" describes the 2-phenyl content of the alkyl chains having 12 or more carbon atoms. Therefore, the general teachings in Feierstein et al teach that the 2-phenyl content of the alkyl benzene is determined by the catalyst and reaction temperatures and it is necessary to separate the alkyl benzene having high 2-phenyl content from alkyl benzenes wherein the aromatic moiety is at other than 2-phenyl positions on the chain (col. 2, lines 47-53). Moreover, the catalyst have been described at column 2, lines 17-30 and applicant specifically noted Table 1 wherein the preferred embodiment is used. However, applicant has not shown any criticality with respect to the other catalyst disclosed. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art, absent a showing to the contrary, to increase the 2-phenyl content of said alkyl benzene sulfonate by reacting the alkylated catalyst with the olefin to produce a higher 2-phenyl content as disclosed by Feierstein et al.

Application/Control Number: 09/665,642

Art Unit: 1751

Disclosed examples and preferred embodiments do not constitute a teaching away from a broader disclosure or nonpreferred embodiments. In re Susi, 440 F.2d 442, 169 USPQ 423 (CCPA 1971). "A known or obvious composition does not become patentable simply because it has been described as somewhat inferior to some other product for the same use." In re Gurley, 27 F.3d 551, 554, 31 USPQ2d 1130, 1132 (Fed. Cir. 1994).

"[E]ven though product-by-process claims are limited by and defined by the process, determination of patentability is based on the product itself. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. If the product in the product-by-process claim is the same as or obvious from a product of the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior product was made by a different process." In re Thorpe, 777 F.2d 695, 698, 227 USPQ 964, 966 (Fed. Cir. 1985).

"The Patent Office bears a lesser burden of proof in making out a case of prima facie obviousness for product-by-process claims because of their peculiar nature" than when a product is claimed in the conventional fashion. In re Fessmann, 489 F.2d 742, 744, 180 USPQ 324, 326 (CCPA 1974). Once the examiner provides a rationale tending to show that the claimed product appears to be the same or similar to that of the prior art, although produced by a different process, the burden shifts to applicant to come forward with evidence establishing an unobvious difference between the claimed product and the prior art product. In re Marosi, 710 F.2d 798, 802, 218 USPQ 289, 292 (Fed. Cir.1983).

Conclusion

2. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 1751

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Necholus Ogden whose telephone number is 571-272-1322. The examiner can normally be reached on M-T and Th-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yogendra N. Gupta can be reached on 571-272-1316. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Necholus Ogden Primary Examiner Art Unit 1751 Application/Control Number: 09/665,642

Art Unit: 1751

No 5-11-04 Page 5